

PROPOSAL
For The Re-Inhabited Republic For Florida
Grand Jury's Right To Revoke
A Corporations's Charter.

TO: **Honorable MICHAEL BISHOP**, Governor, Florida
To: **Ad Hoc Committee on The Bilateral Social Agreement Contract**
From: Jim Costa
Date: August 23, 2024

The Problem:

How do we protect residents' unalienable rights from encroachment by corporations?

Do corporations have the same standing as residents, or a higher standing?

Are corporations sovereign to the government or the other way around?

Who has the authority to revoke corporate charters?

Facts & Assumptions:

- 1) Corporate charters were originally granted by royalty and later governments. The granting authority had the right to revoke the charter if the corporation no longer served its original purpose or was a benefit to the issuing authority.
- 2) There are recorded instances when corporations have grown bigger than their governments and are implied sovereign over them. Therefore, the issuing government no longer is able to revoke their charter.
- 3) History of charters in the United States are very rare in the past 100 years.
See: [Wikipedia](#)
But See: [Killing Corporations. The movement to revoke corporate charters has gotten its history confused.](#)
- 4) When a corporation no longer serves humanity, what shall become of it?
- 5) There are many forms of corporations, both implied and stated. They include: For Profits, Non- Profits and Non-Government Organizations (NGO).
- 6) Since the Civil War our world understanding is that the central government was sovereign over its residents. We are now in the process of reversing this adulterated situation.

Therefore, as we move forward, who has the authority to revoke a corporate charter?

- 7) We appear to have run-away corporations that captured our government. What shall we do with them? End their lives? Rehabilitate them? Let them continue on their current course?

Is there a way to compromise with the them to see the light, to have their own “come to Jesus“ moment to cause an abrupt change in them?

- 8) The biggest impediment to corporation change is all states have laws that require the Board of directors are forced to maximize profits.

However, if a radical change were to occur in the corporation's environment, the corporation’s Board will change as well. They will be protected in redefining “maximizing profits”.

- 9) The Agreement we are to work on already recognizes this encroachment in Articles: 24, 25 & 29. But most importantly in Articles: 30, 32, 36, 39, 41, 42, 44, 45 & 46 dealing with the CIA, CDC and the VAX.

But if we incorporate a Grand Jury’s right to regulate corporations, we don’t have to wait for an occasion to rewrite a new Bilateral Agreement to correct the encroachments on our rights.

- 10) Corporations are incorporated in one state. However, they must register to do business in other states.
- 11) It is assumed that the Bilateral Social Agreement Contract will be short lived, and is but a stepping stone until/to the new State Constitution is written and adopted. However, if the Grand Jury right to regulate corporations is recognized in **this Agreement**, it will add weight to it’s recognition in the new Constitution.

The Plan:

- 1) Create a New Article that reads silimar to:

“Grand Juries shall have the right to revoke corporate charters issued by their state, including foreign corporations registered as doing business in this state, if they no longer serve the residents of this state.
The Grand Jury may also levy fines, or levy fines as warnings, to go into the state Treasury”

Costs: ZIP

Pros:

It Protects the future of the Republic.
It returns back to our belief systems that which we were lead not to know.

Sends a clear message to the writers of the new Constitution.
Sends a clear message to corporations doing business in this state.

Cons

May drive up some prices.
May close some corporations doing business in the state.

Action Requested From the Governor & Committee:

- 1) Approve or disapprove.
- 2) Cause it to happen.