

PROPOSAL
For The Re-Inhabited Republic For Florida
Juror's Right Of Annulment

TO: **Honorable MICHAEL BISHOP**, Governor, Florida
To: **Ad Hoc Committee on The Bilateral Social Agreement Contract**
From: Jim Costa
Date: August 23, 2024

The Problem:

How do we guarantee state residents their right to the ancestral Jural Right of Annulment?

How do we clear out **historical** encroachment of our unalienable rights still in our legal systems?

How do we guard against **future** encroachment on our unalienable rights?

Facts & Assumptions:

- 1) The history of the Jural Annulment began with England's [Magna Carta in 1215](#).

It was commonplace in the United States until shortly after the Civil War. It is still legal but has been removed from our view and not mentioned by Judges when instructing juror's.

- 2) Historically this right was so common and known by all persons that it was never written about in criminal law. It is similar to the cracking of an egg never being mentioned in cook books. It was assumed that everyboy knew it.

- 3) The Juror's right of Annulment is the right of just one Juror, in hearing a case involving a law that the juror feels is unconstitutional, to singularly adjudicate the defendant innocent, thus ending the trial. See: [Cornell Law School](#)

If the pattern holds in similar cases using that law, District Attorneys will no longer prosecute under that law. This is how we clear out unconstitutional laws quickly and prudently.

- 4) The Right of Annulment's sole purpose is to safeguard us from the erosion of our unalienable rights.

- 5) The Agreement we are to work on already recognizes this encroachment in Articles: 15, 19, 20, 2, 27, 29, 33 & 38. But most importantly in Articles: 30, 32, 41, 42, 43, 44 & 45, dealing with the CIA, CDC and the VAX.

But if we incorporate the Juror's Right, we don't have to wait for an occasion to rewrite a new Bilateral Agreement to correct the encroachments on our rights.

- 6) It is assumed that the Bilateral Social Agreement Contract will be short lived, and is but a stepping stone until/to the new State Constitution is written and adopted.

However, if the Jural Annulment is recognized in **this Agreement**, it will add weight to it's recognition in the new Constitution.

The Plan:

- 1) Insert the following words (or similar) into the Bilateral Agreement:

“Juror’s will always receive instruction of their Juror’s Right of Annulment, enabling just one juror to declare acquittal in any trial relying of a law that Juror feels is unconstitutional.”

- 2) Best if titled as “Article 47”.
Might also be added to exiting Article 40 – Non-Negotiable Privileges.

Costs: ZIP

Pros:

It Protects the future of the Republic.
It returns back to our belief systems, which we were lead not to know.
Sends a clear message to the writers of the new Constitution.

Cons

Action Requested From the Governor & Committee:

- 1) Approve or disapprove.
- 2) Cause it to happen.