

PROPOSAL
For The Re-Inhabited Republic For Florida
laches & Squatter Rights

TO: **Honorable MICHAEL BISHOP**, Governor, Florida
State Officers: Clyde Cleveland, Jim Costa, Robert Elling, Beverly Killmore,
Kristy Lefferts, Craig Pearson, Susan Price.

From: Jim Costa
Date: Sept. 17, 2024

The Problem:

What is the Correct paperwork that the Republic For Florida must serve on the Corporate State and County Governments in order to claim our rights to the lands in our counties and state?

Facts & Assumptions:

A) Stare Decisis Definition:

According to Black's Law Dictionary, "stare decisis" is defined as "**to stand by decided cases; to uphold precedents; to maintain former adjudications.**" This doctrine requires courts to adhere to and follow their own prior decisions, absent exceptional circumstances. [Source](#)

B) Laches Definition:

In [common-law](#) legal systems, **laches** ([/'lætʃɪz/ LAT-chiz](#) [/'leɪtʃɪz/](#); [Law French: remissness, dilatoriness](#), from Old French *laschesse*) is a lack of diligence and activity in making a legal claim, or moving forward with legal enforcement of a right, particularly in regard to [equity](#). It is an unreasonable delay that can be viewed as prejudicing the opposing party. When asserted in litigation, it is an equity defense, that is, a defense to a claim for an equitable remedy. It is often understood in comparison to a [statute of limitations](#), a statutory defense, which traditionally is a defense to a claim "at law".

The person invoking laches is asserting that an opposing party has "slept on its rights", and that, as a result of this delay, circumstances have changed (witnesses or evidence may have been lost or no longer available, etc.), such that it is no longer a just resolution to grant the plaintiff's claim. Laches is associated with the maxim of equity: "Equity aids the vigilant" - not those who sleep on their rights. Put another way, failure to assert one's rights in a timely manner can result in a claim being barred by laches.

[Source](#)

C) In a prior Proposal, Return To The Land, these arguments were used:

#3) A question on a Family Law exam was this: Your firm has a new client wanting to know what he should do? His Father and Step-Mother both died on the Titanic. Both were wealthy. His will gave it all to Dad's spouse, then to him if she is dead. Her will left it all to her spouse. If he is deceased, it all goes to her biological son. Somehow the client has all the wealth of both parties. What should you tell the client?

My answer was to go home and do nothing. There is nobody alive that can prove which party died first. The client has all the wealth. Step-Bubba must sue with proof that father died first, which he can't do. Therefore, the client has no problem.

#4) In law, all forms fall into one of two classes: "Form over Substance" and "Substance over the Form". A tax Return Extension of Time is Form Over Substance. It matters not what is written on it.

A restraining Order from a newly married person against Mother-In-Law will depend only on the words written, especially if the judge is a woman.

When returning to the land, **it is Form over Substance.**

#5) Because it is Form over Substance, it matters not if just one person signs it or the entire clubhouse signs it.

D) Under Common law, a squatter's Rights are earned after 7 years.
That is the longest lache that I am aware of.
(maximum of 10 years in some situations and jurisdictions)

If a squatter pitches a Teepee on another's land, and the land owner exceeds his lache, all the teepee owner has to do is prove his mailing address has been the same for 7 years.

He has no problem however and needs not do anything as the lache bars the owner from the court. The land owner must first be able to prove the Tee Pee wasn't there 7 years ago.

E) If we assume that in 2012 filings of claims of De Jure rights to the Florida lands (US lands) were filed against the inferior De Facto corporate governments, did occur;

That was **12 years ago** that the De Facto has failed response to its lache of 7 years.
Therefore, the De Facto has no standing in any court, due to Stare Decises.

Therefore, no legal notice to the De Facto to take possession in law is required by law.

F) If a legal requirement is not required to show squatter's rights because they are not the ones with the problem, then it matters not the wording on a notice if one is served out of courtesy.

This is Form Over Substance. **So again, the wording matters not.**

- G) Besides, if the De Facto government is bankrupt, who will be there to complain about the wording anyway?

The Plan:

- A) As a courtesy, send the De Facto governments the Bilateral Social Agreement with one scribbled signature, with no typed name under it, and get on with our overall plan.

Costs Zero

Pros:

It satisfies the researches, pathfinders and teachers that came before us.

Our Mothers will be proud of us for our manners.

Cons:

May upset National.

Action Requested From The Other State Officers

- 1) The Other Officers need to decide this issue.
- 2) Approve or disapprove.
- 3) If approved, allow it to happen.